FISCAL NOTE WORKSHEET (Revised Nov. 2006)

Agency: Utah State Office of Education	Bill Number	HB 117 1st Sub		
Daniel Schoenfeld				
Requested By				
	Fax/Electr	Fax/Electronic Mail Transmittal		
Office of the Legislative Fiscal Analyst	Date:			
W310 State Capitol Complex				
Salt Lake City, UT 84114-5310	Name:			
538-1034 / Fax 538-1692	Ear Number			
Please return to Fiscal Analyst by: January 29, 2007	7			
TITLE OF BILL: TRANSFER OF DENSITY				
	uly 1 X 60 Days afte	r session Othe	ar	
	ury 1	r sessionOut		
Bill Carries Own Appropriation:				
FISCAL IMPACT OF PI				
A. Revenue Impact by Source of Funds:	First Year		nd Year	
1. General Fund		\$0	\$0	
2. Uniform School Fund - Free Revenue				
3. Transportation Fund				
4. Collections				
5. Other Funds (List Below)				
6 Local Funds				
7. TOTAL		\$0	\$0	
B. Expenditure Impact by Source of Funds:				
1. General Funds		\$0	\$0	
2. Uniform School Fund - Free Revenue				
3. Transportation Fund				
4. Collections				
5. Other Funds (List Below)				
6 Local Funds				
7. TOTAL		\$0	\$0	
C. Expenditure Impact Summary:	•			
Salaries, Wages and Benefits		\$0	\$0	
2. Travel				
3. Current Expenses				
4. Capital Outlay				
5. Other (Specify)				
6. TOTAL		\$0	\$0	
D. Impact in Future Years? If no fiscal impact in first two years, indicate if there will be	any impact in future years	and explain Also is	ndicate any	
significant changes in fiscal impact beyond the first two years		•	шисине ину	
The impact in future years would be no greater than the first		ni y•)		
	5 J 			
Von Hortin, Audit/Finance Specialist USOE, Finance & S		8-7670 01/2		
Prepared By Title	Agency USOE Pho	one No.	Date	

Bill Number: HB 117 1st Sub Bill Title: Transfer of Density

E. Identify Sections of the Bill That Will Generate the Additional Workload or Cost Increase

There would be no significant increase in work because of this bill.

F. Expenditure Impact Details (*Ties to totals in Section C*)

List and document methodology and/or assumptions used in determining need for workload and cost increase.

List number, type, and step ranges of personnel required, including benefits.

List details of other impacted expenditure categories as shown in Section C.

List additional space requirements and cost associated with requirements of this bill.

(USE ATTACHMENTS IF NECESSARY.) There would not be an impact because of this bill. It simply changes the law to allow for transfer of density rights. It differs from the original bill in that the original was specifically about school district zoning and this version is about transfer of density for any use not just schools.

G. No Fiscal Impact or Will Not Require Additional Appropriations?

Specify why this bill will have no fiscal impact on your agency or institution.

Specify how you will reallocate workloads, resources, or funding sources to eliminate need for additional appropriations. (USE ATTACHMENTS IF NECESSARY.)

There would be no fiscal impact to school districts under this bill.

H. If Bill Carries It's Own Appropriation:

Indicate if the amount appropriated is adequate to meet the purposes of the bill.

Are there future additional costs anticipated beyond the appropriation in the bill?

The bill caries no appropriation.

I. Impact on Local Governments, Businesses, Associations, and Individuals

Specify requirements in the bill that drive the impact on local governments.

Indicate costs or savings that are **DIRECT and MEASURABLE**. If direct and measurable data are not available, are there areas that potentially could have a fiscal impact? (USE ATTACHMENT IF NECESSARY.)

<u>Local School Districts/Charter Schools</u>: This bill would create greater density rights for some projects. This would have a greater impact on schools as they would conceivably receive more students from the greater density. The entire thrust of the bill has changed so dramatically from the original bill that it no longer is an education bill.

<u>Businesses and Associations</u>: This would allow developers to reap greater densities on land developments.

Individuals:

<u>Narrative Description of Bill</u>: This bill is completely different from the original bill. This bill simply allows counties and municipalities to designate sending and receiving zones in their planning and zoning process. Connections to school have been dropped. This bill sets up the right to transferable density rights.